

## Composition of Linear Mapping

**Definition:** If  $\phi : V \rightarrow W$  and  $\Psi : W \rightarrow T$  are two linear mappings (i.e, transformations) where  $V$ ,  $W$  and  $T$  are vector spaces, then the composite mapping  $\Psi_0\phi$  from  $V$  to  $T$  is defined as  $\Psi_0\phi(x) = \Psi(\phi(x))$ ,  $x \in V$ , called the product of the mappings  $\phi$  and  $\Psi$ .

Note that the composite mapping  $\Psi_0\phi : V \rightarrow T$  is linear.

To see this, we take  $x, y \in V$ ,  $\alpha, \beta \in F$ , the common scalar field clearly,

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_0\phi(\alpha x + \beta y) &= \Psi(\phi(\alpha x + \beta y)) \\ &= \Psi(\alpha\phi(x) + \beta\phi(y)), \text{ since } \phi \text{ is linear} \\ &= \alpha\Psi(\phi(x)) + \beta\Psi(\phi(y)) \text{ since } \Psi \text{ is linear} \\ &= \alpha(\Psi_0\phi)(x) + \beta(\Psi_0\phi)(y)\end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\Psi_0\phi$  is linear.

**Remark 1:** If  $V = W = T$ , then  $\phi_0\Psi$  is also defined.

It is straightforward to prove  $\phi_0\Psi \neq \Psi_0\phi$

We give an example below:

Let  $V = W = T = \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $F = \mathbb{R}^2$ .

Let  $\phi(x_1, x_2) = (x_2, 2x_1)$  and  $\Psi(x_1, x_2) = (x_1 + x_2, x_2)$

It is easy to check that  $\phi$  and  $\Psi$  are linear.

Now  $\phi_0\Psi(x_1, x_2) = \phi(\Psi(x_1, x_2)) = \phi(x_1 + x_2, x_2) = (x_2, 2x_1, 2x_2)$

$$\Psi_0\phi(x_1, x_2) = \Psi(\phi(x_1, x_2)) = \Psi(x_2, 2x_1) = (x_2 + 2x_1, 2x_1)$$

clearly,  $\phi_0\Psi \neq \Psi_0\phi$ .

**Remark 2:** If  $\phi : V \rightarrow W$ ,  $\Psi : W \rightarrow T$ ,  $\chi : T \rightarrow S$  are linear mappings where  $V$ ,  $W$ ,  $T$  and  $S$  are vector spaces over the same field, then

$$(\chi_0\Psi)\phi = \chi_0(\Psi_0\phi) \quad [\text{Associative Property}]$$

The proof of the above routine.

We can now prove the following.

**Theorem:** Let  $\phi : V \rightarrow V$  be a linear mapping and  $i_v : V \rightarrow V$  be the identity mapping  $i_v(x) = x$  for all  $x \in V$ .

Then the following are true.

(i) If  $\phi$  is bijective, then there is a unique linear mapping  $\Psi : V \rightarrow V$  such that  $\phi_0\Psi = \Psi_0\phi = i_v$

(ii) If a linear mapping  $\Psi$  exists and  $\phi_0\Psi = \Psi_0\phi = i_v$ , then  $\phi$  is bijective.



**Proof:** (i) Since  $\phi$  is onto, there exists  $x \in V$  such that  $\phi(y) = x$ .

As  $\phi$  is one-one, so  $y$  is unique.

Clearly  $\Psi(x) = y$  if and only if  $\phi(y) = x \quad \forall x \in V$ .

Then for each  $x \in V$ , there exists unique  $y \in V$  such that  $\Psi(x) = y$ .

Hence  $\Psi$  is a mapping from  $V$  to  $V$  and

$$(\Psi_0\phi)(y) = \Psi(\phi(y)) = \Psi(x) = y \quad \forall y \in V.$$

This means  $\Psi_0\phi = i_v$ .

Similarly  $(\phi_0\Psi)(x) = \phi(\Psi(x)) = \phi(y) = x \quad \forall x \in V$ .

This means  $\phi_0\Psi = i_v$ .

(ii) Suppose  $\phi$  is not one-one.

Then for some  $x, y \in V, x \neq y, \phi(x) = \phi(y) = x \quad \forall V$ .

we have

$$\Psi_0\phi = i_v$$

$\therefore (\Psi_0\phi)(x) = i_v(x)$  implies  $\Psi(\phi(x)) = x$ , i.e.,  $\Psi(x) = y$

and  $(\Psi_0\phi)(y) = i_v(y)$  implies  $\Psi(\phi(y)) = y$ , i.e.,  $\Psi(x) = y$

This means that  $\Psi$  is not a mapping which is a contradiction.

Hence  $\phi$  is one-one.

Next suppose  $\phi$  is not onto i.e., there exists an element  $x \in V$  such that  $x$  is not the image of any element of  $V$  under  $\phi$ .

We have  $\phi_0\Psi = i_v$ , i.e.,  $(\phi_0\Psi)(x) = i_v(x) = x \quad \forall x \in V$

i.e.,  $\phi(\Psi(x)) = x$

$\therefore \Psi(x) \in V$ . So  $x$  is the image of an element of  $V$  under  $\phi$ . This is a contradiction. Hence  $\phi$  is onto.

To prove uniqueness, we assume that there exists a mapping  $\chi : V \rightarrow V$ , such that  $\chi_0\phi = \phi_0\chi = i_v$ .

Let  $x$  be an arbitrary element of  $V$ .

Since  $\phi$  is onto, there exists  $y \in V$  such that  $\phi(y) = x$ .

Now  $\Psi(x) = \Psi(\phi(y)) = (\Psi_0\phi)(y) = i_v(y) = y$

and  $\chi(x) = \chi(\phi(y)) = (\chi_0\phi)(y) = i_v(y) = y$

Thus  $\Psi(x) = \chi(x)$ ,  $x$  arbitrary. So  $\Psi = \chi$ . Hence  $\Psi$  is unique.

## Inverse of a Linear Mapping

**Definition:** Let  $\phi : V \rightarrow W$  be a linear mapping where  $V$  and  $W$  vector spaces over  $F$ . A mapping  $\Psi : W \rightarrow V$  is called an inverse of  $\phi$  if  $\phi_0\Psi = i_w, \Psi_0\phi = i_v$ . It is usually denoted by  $\phi^{-1}$ . If a linear mapping  $\phi : V \rightarrow W$  has an inverse, it is called invertible.

We can prove the following.



**Theorem:** If  $V$  and  $W$  are vector spaces over the same field  $F$ , a linear mapping  $\phi : V \rightarrow W$  is invertible, then

- (i)  $\phi^{-1}$  is linear
- (ii)  $\phi$  is bijective
- (iii)  $\phi$  is unique.

**Proof:** Let  $\Psi : W \rightarrow V$  be a mapping such that  $\phi\Psi = i_w$ ,  $\Psi\phi = i_v$ .

Let  $w_1, w_2 \in W$  and  $\Psi(w_1) = v_1$ ,  $\Psi(w_2) = v_2$ ,  $v_1, v_2 \in V$ ,  $w_1, w_2 \in W$  clearly  $\phi(\Psi(w_1)) = \phi(v_1)$  implies  $i_w(w_1) = \phi(v_1)$ , i.e.,  $w_1 = \phi(v_1)$

Similarly,  $w_2 = \phi(v_2)$ .

Since  $\phi$  is linear.

$$\phi(av_1 + bv_2) = a\phi(v_1) + b\phi(v_2), \quad a, b \text{ are scalars, implies}$$

$$\phi(av_1 + bv_2) = aw_1 + bw_2$$

$$\therefore \Psi(\phi(av_1 + bv_2)) = \Psi(aw_1 + bw_2)$$

$$\text{This implies } i_v(av_1 + bv_2) = \Psi(aw_1 + bw_2)$$

$$av_1 + bv_2 = \Psi(aw_1 + bw_2) \quad [\because av_1 + bv_2 \in V]$$

$$\text{i.e., } a\Psi(w_1) + b\Psi(w_2) = \Psi(aw_1 + bw_2)$$

But this means that  $\Psi$  is linear i.e.,  $\phi^{-1}$  is linear.

- (ii) Let  $\phi : V \rightarrow W$  be invertible. Then there exists  $\Psi : W \rightarrow V$  such that  $\phi_0\Psi = i_w$  and  $\Psi_0\phi = i_v$

$$\text{Let } v_1, v_2 \in V \text{ and } \phi(v_1) = \phi(v_2).$$

$$\text{Then } \Psi(\phi(v_1)) = \Psi(\phi(v_2))$$

$$\therefore i_v(v_1) = i_v(v_2) \quad \text{or} \quad v_1 = v_2$$

Therefore  $\phi$  is one-one.

$$\text{Let } w \in W. \text{ Then } \phi(\Psi(w)) = i_w(w) = w, \Psi(w) \in V.$$

$\therefore w_1$  is the image of  $\Psi(w)$  under  $\phi$ . This implies  $\phi$  is onto.

Thus  $\phi$  is one-one and onto, i.e., bijective.

- (iii) If possible, let there be two inverses  $\Psi : W \rightarrow V$  and  $\chi : W \rightarrow V$ .

$$\text{Then } \phi_0\Psi = i_w = \phi_0\Psi \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi_0\phi = i_v = \chi\phi$$

Let  $w \in W$  be arbitrary.

Since  $\phi$  is onto, there exists  $v \in V$  such that  $\phi(v) = w$ .

$$\text{Now } \Psi(w) = \Psi(\phi(v)) = (\Psi_0\phi)(v) = i_v(v_1) = v$$

$$\text{and } \chi(w) = \chi(\phi(v)) = (\chi_0\phi)(v) = i_v(v) = v$$

$$\therefore \Psi(w) = \chi(w)$$

As  $w$  is arbitrary,  $\Psi = \chi$ . This proves the uniqueness of  $\phi$ .



**Example 1:** If  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  be defined as  $\phi(x, y, z) = (2x, 4x - y, 2x + 3y - z)$  then show that  $\phi$  is invertible.

**Solution:** Let  $(x, y, z) \in \ker \phi$ . Then  $\phi(x, y, z) = (0, 0, 0)$ . This gives  $2x = 0$ ,  $4x - y = 0$ ,  $2x + 3y - z = 0$ .

This system has only the trivial solution  $(0, 0, 0)$ , i.e.,  $\ker \phi = \{0\}$ .

Hence  $\phi$  is one-one. As  $\phi$  is onto also,  $\phi$  is invertible.

To find out the inverse of  $\phi$ , we take  $\phi(x, y, z) = (r, s, t)$ , say

Then  $2x = r, 4x - y = s$  and  $2x + 3y - z = t$

or  $x = r/2, y = 2r - s, z = 7r - 3s - t$

Hence  $\phi^{-1}(r, s, t) = (r/2, 2r - s, 7r - 3s - t)$

**Example 2:** If  $S$  and  $T$  are linear operators on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  defined as  $S(x, y) = (y, x)$ ,  $T(x, y) = (0, x)$ , find  $ST$ ,  $TS$ ,  $S^2$  and  $T^2$ .

**Solution:** We see

$$(ST)(x, y) = S(T(x, y)) = S(0, x) = (x, 0)$$

$$(TS)(x, y) = T(S(x, y)) = T(y, x) = (0, y)$$

$$S^2(x, y) = S(S(x, y)) = S(y, x) = (x, y)$$

$$T^2(x, y) = T(T(x, y)) = T(0, x) = (0, 0)$$

Note that  $ST \neq TS$  and  $S^2 = i$ .